MINUTES PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSSION December 15, 2021

After determining that a quorum was present, the Planning and Zoning Commission of the City of Denton, Texas convened in a Work Session on Wednesday, December 15, 2021, at 5:04 p.m. in the Council Chambers at City Hall, 215 E. McKinney Street, Denton, Texas.

PRESENT: Chair Margie Ellis, Commissioners: Jason Cole, Ronnie Anderson, Jordan Villarreal, Donald McDade, and Eric Pruett

ABSENT: Vice-Chair Tim Smith

WORK SESSION

1. Citizen Comments on Consent Agenda Items

None

2. Work Session Reports | Stable of Shange A Instance | Statement | Development | Statement | Statemen

A. PZ21-246: Receive a report and hold a discussion regarding general topics in urban design.

The item was presented, discussion followed.

3. Clarification of agenda items listed on the agenda for this meeting.

No agenda items were presented.

Commissioner Pruett asked that item 3A, FP21-0035a, be moved from the Consent Agenda as he will be recused from the vote.

subject property is beated on the cast line Smar Road and being adjacent to and north of Lon

Chair Ellis noted that item 5A, PD21-0004, will be postponed to a date certain of January 12, 2022, at the applicant's request.

The Work Session ended at 6:09 p.m.

E PP21-0128s; Consider a reque: <u>PRITEEM RAJUDEN</u> ley-Horn, on behalf of the property owner, for approximately 34.5-acts

After determining that a quorum was present, the Planning and Zoning Commission of the City of Denton, Texas convened in a Regular Meeting on Wednesday, December 15, 2021, at 6:37 p.m. in the Council Chambers at City Hall, 215 E. McKinney, Denton, Texas.

PRESENT: Chair Margie Ellis, Commissioners: Jason Cole, Ronnie Anderson, Jordan Villarreal, Donald McDade, and Eric Pruett

ABSENT: Vice-Chair Tim Smith

1. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

A. U.S. Flag

B. Texas Flag are come a process that grinning are those against a sub-

2. <u>CONSIDER APPROVAL OF THE PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION MINUTES FOR:</u>

A. PZ21-267: Consider the approval of the December 1, 2021 meeting minutes.

Commissioner Villarreal moved to approve the item as presented. Motion seconded by Commissioner Anderson. Motion carried.

AYES (6): Chair Ellis, Commissioners: Cole, Anderson, Villarreal, McDade, and Pruett ABSENT (1): Vice-Chair Smith

3. CONSENT AGENDA

Item 3A, FP21-0035a, was moved from the Consent Agenda to Items for Individual Consideration.

- B. PP21-0030a: Consider a request from Pacheco Koch, on behalf of Paage, Ltd. and FDPB, LLC, for a Preliminary Plat of the Paage Crossing Addition. The 56.238-acre site is generally located on the northeast corner of Mingo Road and N Loop 288 in the City of Denton, Denton County, Texas. (PP21-0030a, Paage Crossing Addition, Lisa Payne).
- C. FP21-0036b: Consider a request by Todd Hensley, P.E. of Kimley-Horn, on behalf of D.R. Horton Texas, LTD., for a Final Plat of Stuart Ridge Phase 2. The approximately 50.648-acre subject property is located on the east line Stuart Road and being adjacent to and north of Long Road, in the Extra Territorial Jurisdiction Division 1 of the City of Denton, Denton County, Texas. (FP21-0036b, Stuart Ridge Phase 2, PH2, Mark Laird).
- D. FP21-0034a: Consider a request by Key Ventures, LLC for approval of a Final Plat of the Mission Street Offices Addition-Phase 2. The approximately 2.634-acre property is generally located on the southeast corner of Fort Worth Drive (US 377) and Mission Street, in the City of Denton, Denton County, Texas. (FP21-0034a, Mission Street Offices, Julie Wyatt)
- E. PP21-0028a: Consider a request by Mack Mattke of Kimley-Horn, on behalf of the property owner, for approval of a Preliminary Plat for BP Chill Storage. The approximately 34.5-acre property is generally located on the west side of Interstate 35W, north of Corbin Road, in the City of Denton, Denton County, Texas. (PP21-0028a, BP Chill Storage, Cameron Robertson).

Commissioner Anderson moved to approve Consent Agenda Items B (PP21-0030a), C (FP21-0036b), D (FP21-0034a), and E(PP21-0028a). Motion seconded by Commissioner Villareal. Motion carried.

AYES (6): Chair Ellis, Commissioners: Cole, Anderson, Villarreal, McDade, and Pruett ABSENT (1): Vice-Chair Smith

4. ITEMS FOR INDIVIDUAL CONSIDERATION

Item 3A, FP21-0035a: Consider a request for a Final Plat for the Audra Lane Estates Addition. The approximately 7.14-acre site is generally located on the south side of Audra Lane, approximately 290 feet east of the intersection of Audra Lane and Nottingham Drive in the City of Denton, Denton County, Texas. (FP21-0035a, Audra Lane Estates, Hayley Zagurski)

The item was presented, no discussion followed.

Commissioner Anderson moved to approve the item as presented. Motion seconded by Commissioner Villareal. Motion carried.

AYES (5): Chair Ellis, Commissioners: Cole, Anderson, Villarreal, and McDade
ABSENT (1): Vice-Chair Smith
RECUSED (1): Commissioner Pruett

A. MP21-0019: Consider a request by James Riley, on behalf of the property owner Saint David of Wales Episcopal Church, for a Minor Plat of Lot 1, Block 1 of the St. David of Wales Addition. The approximately 3.346-acre site is generally located on the northwest corner of the intersection of Scripture Street and Ector Street in the City of Denton, Denton County, Texas. (MP21-0019, St. David of Wales Lot 1, Bk. 1, Ron Menguita).

The item was presented, no discussion followed.

Commissioner Villarreal moved to deny the item. Motion seconded by Commissioner Cole. Motion carried.

AYES (6): Chair Ellis, Commissioners: Cole, Anderson, Villarreal, McDade, and Pruett ABSENT (1): Vice-Chair Smith

B. PP21-0031a: Consider a request by Rayzor Investments, LTD for approval of a Preliminary Plat for Urbana Bonnie Brae Addition. The approximately 22.485-acre site is generally located east of Bonnie Brae Street, approximately 198 feet north of West Windsor Drive in the City of Denton, Denton County, Texas. (PP21-0031a, Urbana Bonnie Brae Addition, Julie Wyatt)

The item was presented, no discussion followed.

Commissioner Anderson moved to approve the item. Motion seconded by Commissioner Villarreal. Motion carried.

AYES (6): Chair Ellis, Commissioners: Cole, Anderson, Villarreal, McDade, and Pruett ABSENT (1): Vice-Chair Smith

C. PP21-0029a: Consider a request by K X Wealth Fund I, LLC for approval of a Preliminary Plat for Holland Addition. The approximately 13.46-acre site is generally located on the east side of N Loop 288, approximately 543 feet south of Prominence Parkway in the City of Denton, Denton County, Texas. (PP21-0029a, Holland Addition, Julie Wyatt)

The item was presented. No discussion followed.

Commissioner Villarreal moved to deny the item. Motion seconded by Commissioner Cole. Motion carried.

AYES (6): Chair Ellis, Commissioners: Cole, Anderson, Villarreal, McDade, and Pruett ABSENT (1): Vice-Chair Smith

5. PUBLIC HEARING

A. PD21-0004: Hold a public hearing and consider making a recommendation to City Council regarding a request by Hickory Venture Group for a Planned Development Amendment for Planned Development 139. The 12-acre property is generally located at the southeast corner of Vintage Boulevard and I-35W Vintage Boulevard Ramp, in the City of Denton, Denton County, Texas. (PD21-0004, Vintage Multifamily, Julie Wyatt)

Chair Ellis opened the Public Hearing.

The item was not presented, and no discussion was had.

Citizen comments received are in Exhibit A.

Commissioner Villarreal moved to postpone the item to a date certain of January 12, 2022. Motion seconded by Commissioner Cole. Motion carried.

AYES (6): Chair Ellis, Commissioners: Cole, Anderson, Villarreal, McDade, and Pruett ABSENT (1): Vice-Chair Smith

The Public Hearing remained open.

6. PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION PROJECT MATRIX

Staff provided City Council updates. Commissioner McDade added an item to the Matrix regarding Parking, with a focus on residential parking.

7. CONCLUDING ITEMS

With no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 6:49 p.m.

Paula Cullum 3137 Buckthorn Lane	Denton TX	PD21-0004	Opposed	Online	LUCTES CONTROL DEPT.
The section and the section an			1 1		Oppose due to the negative impact
			1 1		that will cause in the area. 2 multi
where the second and the second		J H P	1.9		family residents have already been
Contract the part of the part					approved on Bonnie Brae near
the second of the second					Vintage, there is no need for another
the state of the state of					in the area. i-35W and ramps for
EL O LOUIS HE THE DOME.			11 1		Vintage can barely handle the
the same of the sa			1.1		current traffic, how will it handle
			1		more. There are no Grocery stores
g w o generally di					with in a 2 mile radius and no public
The state of the s					transportation that usually is needed
	in the second se	100			if this so project is to be low income.
Lead of the Common State o	1 1				I say NO for this area.
Glenn Jensen 4700 Napa Valley Drive	Denton TX	PD21-0004	Opposed	Online	oppose this project / zoning
par a mean as my gramma and		1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1		change. As a resident of the Vintage
W-10 - 4. F					neighborhood, and closest residents
e for each on the			11 1		to this apartment project, I am
f eta salvan n ki					angered that our residents were not
			1 1		informed by the City of Denton of
nur soción en i sini no	T t	1 /1 1	1 1		this low income housing
The second test to the second		1 1 1	1		development adjacent to our
1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -					homes.
to the constant of		1 1 1			This apartment development will
TERRETOR SERVICE CHILD - HOLD			11 1		have negative effects on local home
From Sale A Park B Fr					values, traffic, and will likely increase
of caromal sales of road					local crime rates.

,

		1			
	- 1				
				90.000000000	

Yaneisi Montemayor	4313 Sonoma Drive	Argyle	TX	76226	9 (1)	Opposed	Online	As a new recent homeowner in the
				, 622.6		Бррозец	5	Vintage neighborhood, we have not been properly informed of this project. Although, this housing may help low income families, there is a lack of public transportation that
	int of a						:	families may need. It also affects the privacy of current homeowners due to it being built close to some
star rugs ful Datem is the						jor l		homes. I ask for a delay in the vote until these legitimate concerns are addressed.
Adrienne Marshall	4305 Napa Valley Drive	Argyle	тх	76226		Opposed	Online	As a homeworker in the Vintage, we have been given little to no notice of this meeting/vote, nor of the project in general. This leaves us homeowners feeling as though our opinion and voice does not matter. This project does not take your own citizens/current taxpayers into consideration. I feel there are many holes in this planning such as lack of public transportation and no close
100	1. 00 April 1					1		options for food and groceries. I ask for a delay in this vote until these legitimate concerns can be addressed.
Kelsey Hart	4400 Claret Drive	Argyle	тх	76226	PD21-0004	Opposed	Online	We checked the future land use plan and found the surrounding area was designated as low density residential use. The proposed project certainly does not match what was and is currently shown on city land use documents. Therefore, we strongly oppose this project and urge you to vote no as to the proposed multifamily project. It is not compatible to the development contemplated in the area. IT'S not fair to residents who make decisions based on city representationofsurroundingpropos edlanduse.
Matt Hart	4400 Claret Drive	Argyle	тх	76226	PD21-0004	Opposed	Online	I am a homeowner in the development adjacent to the proposed multifamily development. I bought my home based on the future land use map and the proposed zoning amendment is a drastic departure from the current plan. This is going to have many negative impacts to the area such as increased congestion & crime & decrease property values.
Rhianna LaValla	No Address Given	Argyle	TΧ	76226	PD21-0004	Opposed	Online	I oppose this. As Paula and Glenn have stated, there are other properties planned and this would negatively impact our neighborhood. There aren't any grocery stores or infrastructure to support a low income complex.

	December 15 Speaker Commenta							none
Name	Address	City	State		Agenda Item		Method	Comments
Cynthia Bonskowski	76226	Argyle	ТХ	76226	PD21-0004	Opposed	Online	The proposed low income housing development at Vintage Blvd and I-35W will negatively impact the value of our homes and highly likely to being increased crime to our neighborhoods. NO to this development! Further, there is no public transportation to this area to support the population served by low income housing. Nor are there any amenities within walking distance of the proposed development. NO, EMPHATICALLY NO to this development!!
Carl Mosier	3901 Tuscany Court	Argyle	тх	76226		Opposed	Online	As a homeowner in the Vintage neighborhood, I oppose the development of low income multiunit housing adjacent to our community. My family moved to this area due to it's location away from apartment communities and this does not represent what I nor anyone in the neighborhood wishes to see added. There was no notification of this to the residents or the HOA, as the area was originally designated for a school. Please take into consideration that the Vintage neighborhood OPPOSES the request!
Stephanie Radcliff	4408 Claret Drive	Argyle	TX	76226	fa.	Opposed	Online	Residents here do not want this! We are just now even receiving documents to look at! This is not the right area for this type of building. There aren't even services here like stores and bus routes to support this type of residence.
Fred Hensley	4200 Vinyard Way	Argyle	TX	76226		Opposed		I oppose the high density housing at Vintage and 35W. It will decrease property values significantly which will also decrease tax revenue. Schools are already over crowded and this development will have many children. Crowded classrooms decrease education quality for all. Vintage is slated to be an artery and the location of access to this complex will cause backups and be dangerous for all. A location within walking distance to retail is much more suitable for these types of residents.
Heather Miller	4504 Shagbark Drive	Argyle	TX	76226		Opposed	Online	Building low income housing in a food desert is irresponsible. There is no stores within one mile and none within walking distance. The current infrastructure doesn't support this housing venture and it is irresponsible to the existing and future community to approve this project. Despite the housing shortage in the area, building here is not the correct choice. Further this developer isn't the best choice for such a project (research their history). I oppose this project.

Margie Ellis
Planning and Zoning Chair

Selena Dillard
Administrative Assistant