



City of Denton

City Hall
215 E. McKinney St.
Denton, Texas 76201
www.cityofdenton.com

Parks, Recreation, and Beautification Board Minutes

After determining that a quorum of the City of Denton, Texas, **Parks, Recreation, and Beautification Board** is present, the Chair of the Board thereafter convened into an open meeting on Monday, March 2, 2020, at 6 p.m. in the Civic Center, Denton, Texas.

Present: Frances Punch, David Shuck, Kent Boring, George Ferrie, Alana Presley Taylor, James Emerich

Absent: Denona Lee (Excused)

Staff Present: Gary Packan, Director; Laura Behrens, Assistant Director; Drew Huffman, Parks Superintendent; (Eddie) Ferdinand Valdez, Management Analyst; Maria Denison, Marketing Administrator; Caroline Seward, Area Program Manager; Haywood Morgan, Urban Forester;

Denton Parks Foundation: Brooke Moore, Executive Director

Guests: Dana Karcher, Tim May, and Rick Baria

Chair Punch called the meeting to order at 6 pm.

1. ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION

A. Consider approval of the minutes of February 3, 2020.

Punch found suggested changes to the meeting minutes.

- Item C – Change name from Gary to Packan.
- Item D – Define the abbreviations for (MMD) - Municipal Maintenance District
- Item E – Define the abbreviations for (RC) - Remote Control

Chair Punch requested a motion to approve the Parks, Recreation and Beautification Board meeting minutes of February 3, 2020 with recommended edits. Ferrie motioned, and Emerich seconded. Motion passed (6 - 0).

B. Receive a report, hold a discussion, and give staff direction regarding the Urban Forest Master Plan.

Morgan introduced Dana Karcher.

Karcher reviewed the PowerPoint slide regarding the Urban Forest Master Plan.

Emerich asked how Post oaks stay alive. Karcher explained Post oaks are important in this area, but other oaks trees are also important in this area as well. Karcher adds that Post oaks are harder to maintain, and they have a tender root structure, which is challenging to keep alive.

Emerich asked what the limits for the City to regulate the development community from cutting trees. Morgan explained that the development code specifies the tree preservation requirements when there is a new development. Morgan also commented that the new code

specifies the preservation amount is thirty percent of the trees to be preserved, and the other seventy percent mitigations is required. Morgan adds that trees can be mitigated by replanting or payment into the City's Tree Fund, which the City can utilize those funds to dictate where the trees are planted. Huffman added that not all developers remove trees and gave an example of the Hunter-Cole Ranch region that most of the area is prairie versus the other areas in Denton that are oak trees. Huffman also explained that approximately most of the trees in the Hunter-Cole Ranch area are in the floodways which developers cannot build on but will need to plant trees to meet code requirements. There was a group discussion on how adding trees to a prairie will change the landscape and will have challenges in keeping the trees alive. Taylor commented that tree species planted could be chosen that require less water. Morgan explained the tree planting recommended list have tree species with low water requirements. Morgan gave an example of the City of Denver that most of the trees were in the valleys with creeks, and many years ago, the City planted trees throughout the City and now is one of the top urban forestry program cities in the country. Taylor commented that the tree plan should be conservation in mind. Boring asked if it is possible to have incentives for developers to choose native plants. Karcher commented that it is best for Cities to have best practice standards.

Taylor asked if 5% education and outreach are enough for the City. Karcher explained that 5% is a good number to build on, and especially there is a good amount of funds from the tree mitigation fund. Taylor asked if Keep Denton Beautiful (KDB) or the City will develop and run the education and outreach. Morgan explained that both KDB and the City would run the education and outreach program together. Emerich gave an example of the City of Denver and how they do their outreach. Karcher gave an example of several cities that build on educating the community.

Packan asked how to reach the achieve canopy goal of 40%. Karcher there is a lot of space in Denton to plant trees. Karcher commented that Denton would get to the 40% faster because of the community engagement, involvement, and education. Packan asked how the 40% reach compares with other Cities. Karcher thinks 40% is a good number since Denton is already at 28%. Karcher also reiterated that educating and engaging the community is key.

Punch asked if privet can be shaped into art. Morgan commented that privet is aggressive and will overpower all other species. Huffman explained how privets could regenerate itself.

Packan asked how many municipalities create an Urban Forest Master Plan. Karcher explained that approximately twenty percent of municipalities nationwide create an Urban Forest Master Plan.

Taylor asked what the highest priority of trees is needed in the City of Denton. Morgan explained that there would be an electronic copy of a map that will show high priorities. Morgan commented one of the indicators of priorities would be to plant trees to provide shade near concrete pavements, which will also help with storm water runoffs, reduce the air temperature, which will help reduce temperature that contributes to ground-level ozone. Taylor asked what areas in Denton are those areas that will be a high priority. Morgan responded that areas that have pavement such as parking and street with no trees. Taylor asked for more clarification. Morgan commented that it could be placed, such as around the malls or neighborhood streets with low canopy or no trees. Morgan also mentioned that some of the priorities could be from the redevelopment of projects and gives an example of the parking lot in the Day Break Building that there will be islands to plant trees.

Boring asked if there are ways to educate staff or getting more certified arborists on staff since Morgan is the only Urban Forester in the City. There was a group conversation on how other

City departments follow different requirements on how to plant trees, and Boring commented on the importance of educating staff in other departments in Urban Forestry. Morgan explained that one of the recommendations for the City is to explore taking over the maintenance of trees located in City right-of-ways and commented being the only Urban Forester is a huge responsibility in a growing City. Taylor explained that five percent of education might not be enough and commented that it would be a lot of work for only one Urban Forestry staff to educate everyone in the City. There was a group discussion about how other municipalities have staff for an Urban Forestry program. Packan commented that Marshal McGee is a certified arborist. Denison commented that there would be marketing involved to educate the community.

C. Consider recommending approval of an ordinance of the City of Denton, Texas, adopting Standards of Care for recreational care program administered by Denton's Parks and Recreation Department pursuant to Texas Human Resources Code Section 42.041 (b) (14); and providing an effective date.

Seward reviewed the Standards of Care and mentioned that there are two changes to the document.

- Change the name "Camp Quest at North Lakes" to "Camp Conquest at "North Lakes."
- Added a volunteer section

Shuck asked clarification about changing the name. Seward responded there is another license program named Quest.

Punch asked for an overview of the volunteer section. Seward explained the new section includes the type of volunteers, volunteers are background checked, and volunteers are never left unsupervised with children. The two areas volunteers will be currently be used for are:

- Summer food program – Volunteers help serve food.
- AmeriCorps Foster Grandparents program – Grandparents volunteer to read to the children in the morning and help with morning activities with the children.

Taylor asked updates regarding reducing waste during lunches and during the camp. Seward commented that staff has spoken to the Summer Food program to encourage them to reduce waste and use recycled material.

Chair Punch requested a motion recommending approval of an ordinance of the City of Denton, Texas, adopting Standards of Care for recreational care program administered by Denton's Parks and Recreation Department. Shuck motioned, and Ferrie seconded. Motion passed (6 - 0).

D. Receive an informal report regarding the status of the accreditation application through the National Recreation and Park Association Commission for Accreditation of Park and Recreation Agencies.

Seward reviewed the status of the accreditation application through the National Recreation and Park Association Commission for Accreditation of Park and Recreation Agencies (CAPRA).

Seward commented that there would be a site visit in the first week of June, which Packan mentioned that the onsite visit would align close to the Park Board meeting. Packan mentioned that he would like the Parks, Recreation and Beautification Board to attend. Packan also

commented that the representatives for the site visit would question the staff on certain items on how CAPRA was put together.

Shuck asked how the department views the cost-benefit on changing policy & procedures, and the amount of resources put into it versus the benefit that comes out of it. Seward commented the initial application cost for CAPRA is approximately \$3,700 and annually a cost of approximately \$1,600 depending on the size of the City for CAPRA. However, a national recognition benefit holds more weight when applying for grants to receive additional funds. Behrens also commented on the benefits such as updating existing policies, implementing policies, making sure staff is trained appropriately, emphasizing efficiency, effectiveness, and safety aspects of the programs that are good for best practices and standards for staff.

Packan commented that the onsite visit is a peer evaluation from various Parks and Recreation departments and professionals throughout the country. In addition, peer evaluators are not from Texas.

Ferrie commented for staff to update Board members regarding the June CAPRA onsite visit meeting.

- E. Consider recommending approval of a resolution of the City of Denton allowing The Bearded Monk to sell alcoholic beverages at the Denton Parks Foundation Return to Recess, on Friday, April 17, 2020, from 6:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m., at Industrial Park, upon satisfying certain conditions; authorizing the City Manager, or his designee, to execute an agreement in conformity with this resolution; and providing for an effective date.**

Denison reviewed the background regarding The Bearded Monk to sell alcoholic beverages at Industrial Park.

Punch asked how the Bearded Monk was selected. Moore explained how she knew John Williams, a person that can help direct her the right direction who just sold beer, and his suggestion was to check out Bearded Monk. Moore also commented that if this event works, she is planning to have this event four times a year and will be working with Bearded Monk since they have offered two free beers and two discounted beers. Moore also commented that the Bearded Monk would be the sponsor for beer for this event. There was a group discussion on how organizations (and not the City) do not have to go out for bids and get to choose who they want to work with.

Punch requested a motion recommending approval of a resolution of the City of Denton allowing The Bearded Monk to sell alcoholic beverages at the Denton Parks Foundation Return to Recess at Industrial Park. Shuck motioned, and Emerich seconded. Motion passed (6 - 0).

- F. Consider recommending approval of a resolution of the City of Denton allowing Profest, Inc. to sell alcoholic beverages at the Denton Arts and Jazz Festival, on Friday, April 24, 2020, from 10:00 p.m. to midnight, through Sunday, April 26, 2020, from 11:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m., at Quakertown Park, upon satisfying certain conditions; authorizing the City Manager, or his designee, to execute an agreement in conformity with this resolution; and providing for an effective date.**

Denison reviewed the background regarding Profest Inc to sell alcoholic beverages at the Denton Arts and Jazz Festival.

Punch pointed out an error on the times regarding when Profest Inc. can sell alcohol on the Agenda Information Sheet (sell alcohol beverage Friday night after 10 p.m.). Denison acknowledged that the times on the Agenda Information Sheet and the draft resolution (Exhibit 2) are incorrect and need to be updated with the correct times. Punch also commented that the Saturday hours are not listed on the Agenda Information Sheet and the draft resolution. Denison explained that attorneys have preferences how the times are to be listed such as all clumped up, or other attorneys want the times separated. Denison further explained that she would need to check with the attorney regarding listing out the times for Saturday. Shuck asked if it would be best if the Parks, Recreation and Beautification Board should recommend approval with edits in this meeting given that if this gets pushed back next month Board meeting, it may be too late to recommend approval of this item. Denison agreed that the Parks, Recreation and Beautification Board will approve with edits this round. Valdez checked last year's (March 2019) Parks, Recreation and Beautification folder in the global drive, and the Agenda Information Sheet subject line doesn't include the times for Friday through Sunday. However, Valdez read the first paragraph of the Background of the Agenda Information Sheet out loud for the group to hear that it is written Friday and Saturday from 10 a.m. to midnight and Sunday 11 a.m. to midnight.

Chair Punch requested a motion to amend the times of the resolution of the City of Denton allowing Profest, Inc. to sell alcoholic beverages at the Denton Arts and Jazz Festival, Friday 10 a.m. to midnight, Saturday 10 a.m. to midnight, and Sunday 11 a.m. to midnight. Ferrie motioned and Shuck seconded. Motion passed (6 - 0).

Chair Punch requested a motion recommending approval of the resolution with amended edits of the City of Denton allowing Profest, Inc. to sell alcoholic beverages at the Denton Arts and Jazz Festival, Friday 10 a.m. to midnight, Saturday 10 a.m. to midnight, and Sunday 11 a.m. to midnight. Ferrie motioned and Emerich seconded. Motion passed (6 - 0).

G. Consider recommending approval of a resolution of the City of Denton allowing Mi Casita to sell alcoholic beverages at the Cinco de Mayo Festival, on Saturday, May 2, 2020, from 10:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m., at Quakertown Park, upon satisfying certain conditions; authorizing the City Manager, or his designee, to execute an agreement in conformity with this resolution; and providing for an effective date.

Denison reviewed the background of Mi Casita to sell alcoholic beverage at the Cinco De Mayo Festival.

Chair Punch requested a motion recommending approval of a resolution of the City of Denton allowing Mi Casita to sell alcoholic beverages at the Cinco de Mayo Festival. Ferrie motioned, and Taylor seconded. Motion passed (6 - 0).

H. Consider recommending approval of a resolution of the City of Denton allowing The Bearded Monk to sell alcoholic beverages at the Denton Parks Foundation Adult Egg Hunt, on Friday, April 3, 2020, from 7:30 p.m. to 10:00 p.m., at the G. Roland Vela Athletic Complex, upon satisfying certain conditions; authorizing the City Manager, or his designee, to execute an agreement in conformity with this resolution; and providing for an effective date.

Denison reviewed the background regarding The Bearded Monk to sell alcoholic beverages at the Adult Egg Hunt at the G. Roland Vela Athletic Complex.

Chair Punch requested a motion recommending approval of a resolution of the City of Denton allowing The Bearded Monk to sell alcoholic beverages at the Denton Parks Foundation Adult Egg Hunt at the G. Roland Vela Athletics Complex. Boring motioned, and Ferrie seconded. Motion passed (6 – 0).

- I. Consider recommending approval of a resolution of the City of Denton allowing The Bearded Monk to sell alcoholic beverages at the Denton Parks Foundation Return to Recess, on Friday, April 17, 2020, from 6:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m., at the Williams Trade Square parking lot, upon satisfying certain conditions; authorizing the City Manager, or his designee, to execute an agreement in conformity with this resolution; and providing for an effective date.**

Denison reviewed the background regarding The Bearded Monk to sell alcoholic beverages at the Williams Trade Square parking lot.

Punch found an error in the verbiage regarding the location of the event in the Agenda Information Sheet. Punch explained the Agenda Information Sheet stated the location at Industrial Park in the fourth paragraph, the second sentence under “Background,” which is incorrect because the location is supposed to be at the Williams Trade Square parking lot. Denison explained that the edits to the Agenda Information Sheet can be corrected, and should not affect the approval since the resolution is the legal document they are voting for and not the Agenda Information Sheet. Also, Behrens commented that the Parks, Recreation and Beautification Board is only voting for the resolution and not the Agenda Information Sheet.

Punch asked clarification where William Trade Square is located. Denison explained that William Trade Square is located at the parking lot between Wells Fargo bank and Rusty Taco. Denison explained that a portion of that lot is deeded to the City of Denton. Denison clarified that anyone wanting to have an event on that lot, they would need to work with the Economic Development Department staff to get approval, which is ordinance based, and in this situation for this event, Moore had to do go through that process.

Chair Punch requested a motion recommending approval of a resolution of the City of Denton allowing The Bearded Monk to sell alcoholic beverages at the Denton Parks Foundation Return to Recess at the Williams Trade Square parking lot. Ferrie motioned, and Emerich seconded. Motion passed (6 – 0).

- J. Receive a report, hold a discussion, and give staff direction regarding updates to the Integrated Pest Management Program (IPM).**

Behrens reviewed updates to the Integrated Pest Management Program (IPM).

Taylor asked clarification if the mowing contract for bid will be for companies supporting electric mowers. Huffman commented that the City of Denton would be the first city in the county that will have electric mowers. Huffman also mentioned there are newer versions of electric mowers and are also three times more expensive than conventional mowers, which will be costly up-front. Boring asked if the City has tested autonomous electric mowers. Huffman commented that the City had tested one of the autonomous mowers, and the technology was in the early stages and not quite there to meet the needs of the department to maintain the parks.

Taylor asked how the IPM is working out. Huffman and Behrens mentioned it’s still in the early stages and explained that it hadn’t been a full year since implementing IPM, and staff’s

observation in the park areas hasn't seen any significant changes so far. Behrens also mentioned a positive note that the double-A classification field areas that are high maintenance are on par and reacting the same with other field areas. Shuck mentioned regarding a previous Parks, Recreation and Beautification Board meeting discussion that the reaction was not going to be the same. Huffman and Behrens explained that the IPM process is labor-intensive to create the same results. Packan also commented that it is still in the early stages and to wait at least three years to see the results.

Emerich commented about bees coming back. Behrens commented that there is a strategy in the policy addressing pollinators and had a representative from the Beekeepers Association to help the department keep in line with protecting the bee population in the policy, through strategy in maintenance of City park land property. Behrens also mentioned that Bill Hartley, the representative from the Beekeepers Association, just passed away recently. Behrens explained he was a good individual and helped the Parks and Recreation department transfer beehives safely without killing the bees.

Shuck asked if the City Council can change the IPM program and policy. Behrens explained that they could, but it is a methodology that is best practices.

Boring asked if wood chips have chemicals in them. Behrens responded that wood chips do not have chemicals in them. Taylor commented that wood chips are from untreated wood. Huffman explained that it needs to be IPMA certified, and it cannot be recycled wood. There was a brief discussion that wood chips are not fire retardant. Punch asked if the black weed barrier have chemicals. Behrens replied that there are no chemicals in black weed barriers.

Emerich asked what strategy are 16 and 17. Behrens commented that Strategy 16 is the playground strategy, and Strategy 17 is the Dog Park strategy. Also, Strategy 16 & 17 will have a strategy regarding fire ants.

Shuck asked if City Council will manage the IPM committee. Behrens commented that there is a section in the IPM document on how the IPM committee will be formed and will be under the direction of the Director. Behrens also commented that the IPM document outlined the desired representatives for the committee that has knowledge, background, and experience in this field. Behrens also adds that the committee should be a diverse group that represents the City and looking for representatives from the Universities such as the gardening groups, AgriLife, and Beekeeper to be involved. Emerich asked if this will be an ad hoc committee for City Council. Behrens responded that it is not for City Council, but those representatives will be appointed by the Director. Shuck asked if recommendations would go through the committee, then through the Parks, Recreation & Beautification Board, and then to City Council. Behrens responded that minor things would probably not go through the committee, especially if certain products are already outlined in the Standard Operation Procedure (SOP).

K. Receive a report, hold a discussion, and give staff direction regarding the use of a temporary name for a future facility, park, or land.

Punch explained that she requested this item via email to Valdez and Packan. Punch explained that her request for this item lacks on her point of view from the email.

Valdez clarified that when he received the email, he had to check with the City Secretary on how to proceed with Punch's request for a resolution or amendment of a resolution.

Punch explained her email request to the group

"Hold a discussion, and consider approval of a resolution allowing Parks Board, Parks staff and associated Boards to use only names and terms approved through the Naming Policy and the Sponsorship/Donations Policy. Written approval from City Council must be received prior to use of updated nomenclature."

Punch commented that her email stated, *"I am open to editing verbiage if needed."*

Punch also explained that amending a resolution is tough and commented that Valdez suggested looking at the naming policy and possible for amendment.

Valdez explained that more research is needed since this was a request from one Board member to create or amend a resolution. Valdez commented that speaking with the City Secretary, it's usually uncommon for the Parks, Recreation Board to request a resolution or amendment of a resolution, especially given that it has not been discussed by all Board members in a regular meeting. Valdez elaborated that the City Secretary suggested asking the Legal Department advice on how to proceed with this item, which was more research given the short timeframe that was requested about a week ago.

Packan explained that the plan was to get this item on the agenda so that it can be discussed with all Board members and also to understand Punch's request. Packan also commented that the department doesn't usually create resolutions for the Parks, Recreation and Beautification Board but is more common at the City Council level. Packan also commented staff felt this was a good first step to put it on the agenda for discussion. Packan explained that the naming request could be an organizational procedure or administrative policy on how to addresses naming future properties temporarily. He also explained there are possible future properties in the horizon staff is looking at acquiring which tracking the names can be a challenge. Packan commented that he hopes to build a framework that will meet Punch's request. Valdez commented that staff is open to looking into this request and explained that staff needed to follow proper procedures for a request.

Shuck asked clarification if the intent is to create a policy to assign temporary names until the property gets an official name through the naming policy. Punch commented that a temporary name is not necessary if that future property is part of the development that name can be used. Boring mentioned that some properties might not be part of the official development yet. Packan responded that Carnegie Ridge neighborhood park came up as a topic of trying to come up with a place holder name. Packan commented that using the street, adjacent street, neighborhood names could be factors for a place holder name. Packan also explained that the developer wanted to name the park at Carnegie Ridge neighborhood, and staff asked the developer to wait until the Naming Policy has been revised. Packan gave other examples of developers requesting to name a park because of a historical significance in the area and staff using a place holder name for other parks based on the geographic location. Packan also gave other examples of factors that a property can be named as a place holder. Packan explained further the importance of the property going through the naming policy to get an official name because attaching a name as a place holder to a property can become automated as the official name of the property.

Shuck mentioned that probably the reasoning for Punch's email and the request is so that there is not any bias during the naming process. Shuck commented that Carnegie Ridge Park had a place holder name that was used on the previous agenda and minutes.

Packan commented that a procedure or a guide for the naming process could be created by staff that will outline how a property is named such as geographic location, historical reference, theme etc. and include a temporary place holder name process.

Emerich explained using quotations, so it signifies it is a temporary name. Punch explained that she is familiar with a place holder name but is pointing out the possible bias of using a name. Punch noted that names should not be used that have not been approved.

Huffman commented about staff creating a draft policy with a guide and coming back to the Board in a month or so to show to the Parks, Recreation and Beautification Board meeting. Huffman gave examples of ideas he and Packan thought about naming park properties with numbers. The group chimed in the pros and cons of naming park properties with numbers. Packan commented that he wants to try to create a draft on how to address the pre-naming process and a naming guideline for the ad hoc committee that is appointed for Council, and will bring it back to the Parks, Recreation and Beautification Board for review.

Taylor asked when will the properties go through the naming policy. Packan responded that a date hadn't been selected yet, but there are approximately five properties in the cue to go through the naming policy. Packan commented that the naming policy is not a quick process, and an ad hoc committee would need to be appointed by City Council. Packan explained that he would like for all five of the properties to bunched up together at one time to go through the same ad hoc committee.

Taylor asked the status of the Carnegie Ridge neighborhood park. Huffman responded that grass would be installed in the next thirty to forty-five days, with the remaining trees planted, and the roof of the pavilion is completed. Huffman commented he could take a picture of the park when it is completed and send to the Parks, Recreation and Beautification Board. Taylor asked what the neighborhood is calling the park and will there be a park sign. Huffman explained there is currently no name for the park. Packan commented that there would eventually be a park sign in the future.

L. Receive a report, hold a discussion, and approve the Parks, Recreation and Beautification Advisory Board Annual 2020 meeting schedule.

There was a group discussion regarding the July 6th or 13th date. Everyone suggested leaving the Annual 2020 meeting schedule as is, holding the meeting on July 13, 2020.

Chair Punch requested a motion regarding approving the Parks, Recreation and Beautification Advisory Board Annual 2020 meeting schedule. Ferrie motioned, and Emerich seconded. Motion passed (6 - 0).

M. Receive an informal report regarding the Amendments to Code of Ordinances as modified by Ordinance 19-2866, the revised absence provision for Boards, Commissions, and Committees.

The group discussed getting more information from the City Secretary regarding providing additional documentation in the ordinance.

Behrens commented on where to find the City Council video recording regarding the outcome of the modified ordinance on the City of Denton website. Behrens also pointed out how the video recording can be fast-forwarded by clicking on the agenda item link of interest instead of searching through the entire video.

N. Receive an informal report regarding Denton Parks Foundation updates.

Moore gave an informal report regarding the Denton Parks Foundation updates.

- Updates and results from “Galentine’s Day”, Denton’s Official BFF Bash
 - Around 50 people showed up
 - Raised \$4,000
- Inaugural Adult Egg Scramble, April 3, 2020
- Return to Recess, April 17, 2020
 - Change up on membership
 - Engage with donors
- Dog Park
- Recreational Scholarships
 - Started advertisement for recreational scholarships
 - Available \$25,000
 - Anyone can apply
- Results of the 30-Day Parks and Play Challenge
 - Goal to visit a park every day for 30 days
 - Starts in May
 - Commented the importance of the 10-Minute Walk to the Park


2. CONCLUDING ITEMS

Requests:

- Punch - Draft for internal temporary naming policy for May, Parks Recreation & Beautification Board meeting.
- Taylor – General aquatic update – Summary of hours of operation, cost, etc.
- Huffman – Can bring a list of proposed free park property in the next meeting.

Chair Punch requested a motion to adjourn the meeting. Shuck motioned, and Taylor seconded. Motioned passed (6 - 0).

Meeting adjourned at 9:04pm.



FRANCES PUNCH
CHAIR- PARKS, RECREATION AND BEAUTIFICATION BOARD
CITY OF DENTON, TEXAS